UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL United States of America

| Stitled States of America | ONDER OF DETERMINATE TRAINS |
|---|---|
| v. Tito Rubese Perez-Mijangos | Case No. 1:13-mj-00400-ESC |
| Defendant | |
| After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Refehat the defendant be detained pending trial. | form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require |
| Part I – Fir | ndings of Fact |
| | n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of hat would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had |
| a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3′ which the prison term is 10 years or more. | 156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for |
| an offense for which the maximum sentence is | death or life imprisonment. |
| an offense for which a maximum prison term of | ten years or more is prescribed in: |
| a felony committed after the defendant had bee U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state | en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses. |
| any felony that is not a crime of violence but inv | olves: |
| a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.C | or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon |
| | hile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state |
| (3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1). | date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the |
| (4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presun person or the community. I further find that defendan | nption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe it has not rebutted that presumption. |
| Alternative | e Findings (A) |
| (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant | t has committed an offense |
| for which a maximum prison term of ten years of Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s. | |
| under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). | |
| (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance ar | ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community. |
| Alternative ✓ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appe | e Findings (B) ear. |
| (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange | er the safety of another person or the community. |
| Part II – Statement of t | the Reasons for Detention |
| I find that the testimony and information submitted at the evidence a preponderance of the evidence that: | e detention hearing establishes by <u>\(\lambda \)</u> clear and convincing |
| 4 Before the description of the latest terminates and the second | |

- 1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest detention at this time.
- 2. Defendant is subject to an immigration detainer and would not be released in any case.
- 3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

| Date: _ | December 9, 2013 | Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody | |
|---------|------------------|---|--|
| | | Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge | |